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Abstract

Aims: To address whether seed and seedling functional traits have a significant effect on germination rates and seedling survival in direct-seeding restoration efforts. We tested the hypotheses that: (1) seed burial only favours the emergence of round seeds; and (2) straw mulching improves soil moisture and prevents the emergence of the invasive grass *Urochloa decumbens*, promoting the establishment of native tree seedlings.

Location: Experimental field, Central Brazil. Savanna, recently dominated by exotic pasture.

Methods: We evaluated the effects of seed burial (surface and buried) and mulching (no-mulch, 5-cm straw mulch and 10-cm straw mulch) on the emergence, survival and growth of 16 species of native Cerrado tree species with variable seed sizes and shapes and seedling types. Thirty seeds of each species were sown per treatment combination in three blocks.

Results: Seed burial did not affect the emergence of species with round seeds, but negatively affected species with flat seeds and phanerocotylar–epigeal–foliaceous seedlings. Straw mulching reduced the emergence of flat seeds and phanerocotylar seedlings, but increased soil moisture and strongly reduced *U. decumbens* emergence, resulting in a higher growth rate of tree seedlings up to 1 yr for five species.

Conclusion: Direct seeding is an effective technique to plant a mixture of Cerrado tree species for restoration, provided the soil is well prepared and there are mechanisms to control invasive species.

Introduction

The first record of direct tree seeding dates back to 1870 in Australia (Fergus 2004; Carr et al. 2009), but as recently as 20 yr ago this technique had been formally evaluated in only a few dozen scientific studies. Direct seeding is seen as an alternative strategy for the restoration of forest and savanna ecosystems, because the costs are lower and it is easier to implement than planting nursery-grown seedlings (Cole et al. 2011; Campos-Filho et al. 2013; Palma & Laurance 2015). In addition, this approach has ecological advantages. For instance, directly seeded trees are likely to establish in suitable microsites because seeds are planted in large quantities and the initial seed and seedling densities are closer to those of early secondary succession areas (Sovu et al. 2010; Schneemann & McElhinny 2012; Campos-Filho et al. 2013), recreating competitive and facilitative interactions.

When adopting or developing direct seeding methods for restoration programmes, seed and seedling functional traits must be taken into account, because it is possible that no one method will be adequate for all species in a
In the Brazilian savannas (i.e. Cerrado sensu stricto) (Vieira & Scariot 2006; Doust et al. 2008; Sovu et al. 2010). Seed shape has a similar influence on the outcome of germination: round seeds penetrate the soil more easily and persist for longer periods in the seed bank before germinating, while flat seeds tend to remain on the soil surface and germinate faster due to a thinner seed coat, which facilitates imbibition (Moles et al. 2000; Tunjai & Elliott 2012). Due to the ephemeral nature of cotyledons, it is not easy to determine the functional morphology of seedlings, but morphological seed traits are correlated to seedling traits. Larger seeds tend to have hypogeal reserve cotyledons, while smaller seeds tend to produce seedlings with epigeal leaf-like cotyledons (Barra-Manriquez et al. 2001; Ressel et al. 2004; Baraloto & Forget 2007). These traits may in turn affect post-germination success. For instance, seedlings with epigeal foliaceous cotyledons may be less likely to grow through the soil or litter layer during emergence than hypogeal seedlings.

Beyond the functional traits of seeds and seedlings, abiotic and biotic constraints must also be considered when developing a direct seeding programme. Among the obstacles faced by seeds and seedlings in degraded areas of the seasonal tropics, some of the most relevant are exposure to high temperatures, low humidity, predation and competition extremes seeds are exposed to, but impose a physical barrier to seedling emergence, especially for small, flat seeds. Concurrently with tree seeding, we sowed Urochloa decumbens (synonym Brachiaria decumbens), one of the most widespread exotic pasture grasses in Cerrado, in all plots. This allowed us to test a second hypothesis, that mulching improves tree seedling survival and growth by reducing the emergence of U. decumbens and lowering competition for seedlings.

Methods

Study area

The experiment was conducted at an experimental field of the University of Brasília, in the Federal District, Brazil (15°56’ S, 47°56’ W, 1080 m a.s.l.). Average annual rainfall is 1336 mm, and 87% of the precipitation is concentrated from Oct to Mar. Average annual temperature is 20 °C, the hottest month is Oct (22 °C) and the coldest is Jul (17 °C; http://www.fal.unb.br). The predominant soil is a dark red Latosol (Oxisol), which has high aluminium and low calcium and magnesium content (Libano & Felfili 2006). Cerrado (Brazilian savanna) fragments near the experimental area have 1147 trees ha⁻¹ and basal area of 9.2 m²·ha⁻¹; trees are 3–5-m tall, and some reach 12 m (Felfili & Silva Júnior 1988). The most abundant tree species are Qualea parviflora Mart., Oryzopsis hexasperma (A. St.-Hil.) Tiegh., Qualea grandiflora Mart., Acinodendron pohliianum (Cogn.) Kuntze, Caryocar brasiliense A.St.-Hil., Tachigali vulgaris L.G.Silva & H.C.Lima, Schefflera macrocarpa.
initially, we selected 18 native cerrado species that had seeds available during the collection period (Jul–Nov 2011). however, two species were excluded from the analyses because they did not germinate in any treatment (Dimorphantha mollis benth. and S. macrocarpa (cham. & schltldl.) Frodin). species were classified according to seed shape and the morpho-functional traits of the seedlings (Table 1). all the species studied were listed among the 100 most frequent trees in at least one of the six cerrado floristic provinces (bridge-water et al. 2004). in addition, the seed traits of these species represented the full range described for several tree assemblages around the world (reviewed by Ceccon et al. 2016). seeds were removed from fruits, unless they were winged; wings protect seeds from desiccation without constraining germination (D.L.M. Viere, pers. obs.). we did not break seed dormancy to preserve resistance to dry spells. although the germination of some seeds may be accelerated through scarification to break dormancy, all studied species started to germinate in the first month after the onset of the rains. non-scarified seed lots were germinated in the laboratory to assess germinability.

### Experimental design

we used a factorial block design, crossing the factors seed burial (surface and 3–5-cm deep) and straw mulching (no-mulch, 5-cm mulch and 10-cm mulch) for a total of six treatment combinations. the exotic grass planted along with tree seeds, U. decumbens ( stapf ) R.D. Webster, is a 50-cm tall semi-stoloniferous African perennial grass, with stoloniferous and caespitose growth, that has been widely introduced and cultivated in Neotropical savannas to increase pasture productivity (Guenni et al. 2002).

the experimental site had been cultivated with the exotic grasses U. decumbens and Melinis minutiflora P. Beauv. before the experiment was established. the area was mowed and ploughed, and in each block, three 36.0 m × 1.2 m beds were established 70 cm apart using a rotary hoe bed former, allowing us to sow seeds and survey seedlings without stepping on the beds. beds were flat and had no lumps; they were divided into three 12.0 m × 1.2 m strips for the application of each straw mulch treatment, and each strip was divided into two 6.0 m × 1.2 m plots for the seed burial treatments. thirty seeds of 16 species were sown per treatment combination in each block (30 seeds × 6 treatments × 3 blocks = 540 seeds of each species; Appendix S1). blocks were positioned along a gentle slope, 5 m apart from each other. seeds from each species were sown in rows 20 cm apart from each other; seeds were sown every 20 cm along each row. for seed burial, holes were created with a wooden

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>CHR Type</th>
<th>Seed Shape</th>
<th>Dry Mass ± SD [g]^b</th>
<th>Laboratory emergence (%)^b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anacardium occidentale L.</td>
<td>CHR^b</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.33</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anadenanthera colubrina (Vell.) Brenan.</td>
<td>PHR^b</td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.06</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspidosperma macrocarpum Mart.</td>
<td>PHR^c</td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.06</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brosimum gaudichaudii Trécul</td>
<td>CHR^a</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copaifera langsdorffii Desf.</td>
<td>PER^a</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.05</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalbergia microlabium Benth.</td>
<td>PER^c</td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipteryx alata Vogel</td>
<td>PHR^c</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterolobium gymniferum (Mart.) I.F. Macbr.</td>
<td>PIR^b</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.04</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eriotheca pubescens (Mart. &amp; Zucc.) Schott &amp; Endl.</td>
<td>PHR^b</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugenia dysenterica (Mart.) DC.</td>
<td>CHR^b</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.07</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymenaea stigonocarpa Mart. ex Hayne</td>
<td>PER^c</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>3.31 ± 0.46</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kielmeyera coriacea Mart. &amp; Zucc.</td>
<td>PEF^a</td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.04</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magonia pubescens A.St.-Hil.</td>
<td>PIR^b</td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.09</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualea grandiflora Mart.</td>
<td>PEF^c</td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.02</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solanum lycocarpum A.St.-Hil.</td>
<td>PEF^b</td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.02</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabebuia aurea (Silva Manso) Benth. &amp; Hook. f. ex S. Moore</td>
<td>PEF^c</td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Morpho-functional traits of the seedlings: CHR, cryptocotylar hypogeous with reserve storage cotyledons; PEF, phanerocotylar epigeal with foliaceous cotyledons; PER, phanerocotylar epigeal with reserve storage cotyledons; PHR, phanerocotylar hypogeous with reserve storage cotyledons. Seed shape: flat, seeds with a flat surface; round = round or oval-shaped seeds (visually determined). Dry weight of seeds in grams with SD.

Adapted from: ^aRessel et al. (2004), ^bbased on four replications of 25 seeds (D.A. Silva, seed physiologist at Embrapa Genetic Resources & Biotechnology, unpubl. data); ^cMontoro (2008); ^dLobo (2012). ^eSeeds were contaminated by bacteria in the laboratory. ND. Laboratory emergence was not determined.
stick, and the soil was levelled after sowing. In all treatments, *U. decumbens* was seeded manually at the usual density for pasture formation (ca. 0.3 seed·cm$^{-2}$) before the mulch was applied. The mulch consisted of *U. decumbens*, which was moved from the surrounding areas, dried naturally in the field and manually placed on the ground. The experiment was established in Nov 2011, at the beginning of the rainy season.

**Data collection**

Environmental variables were only compared between mulch treatments, since seed burial does not affect environmental variables or *U. decumbens* emergence. To estimate soil moisture (%), soil samples were collected from each mulch cover treatment (no-mulch, 5-cm and 10-cm mulch) at the surface and at a depth of 50 cm in Jul (nine samples per treatment per cover), Oct (18 samples) and Nov (seven samples) of 2012. Samples were weighed before and after being dried at 105 °C to a constant weight in a kiln (gravimetric method, using a 0.01 g precision balance). To estimate photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) at 10 cm above the surface (the height of most of the seedlings in the experiment), 14 light measurements per treatment and one measurement at full sun were taken. The final measurement was calculated as (PPFD at treatment/PPFD at full sunlight) × 100. These measurements were taken in Jul 2012 using a quantum sensor (LI-190; LI-Cor, Lincoln, NB, US). The number of *U. decumbens* tussocks in 18 randomly distributed 25 cm x 25 cm plots per treatment were counted to assess the emergence of this grass. This census was carried out in Jul 2012, at the same time soil moisture and PPFD were measured.

We carried out monthly surveys of emergence and survival of seedlings over 16 months (including two rainy seasons). Emergence was logged when seedlings emerged through the mulch, or through the soil for the no-mulch treatment. Seedlings were then identified by a numbered tag to monitor their survival. Height and diameter at soil level of all surviving plants were measured after 16 months.

**Data analyses**

We used ANOVAs to compare the effects of mulching on soil moisture, PPFD and *U. decumbens* emergence, with blocks as a random variable without interaction. We tested if different seed sizes, seed shapes and seedling types interacted with the seed burial and mulching treatments using factorial ANOVAs, nesting species into seed size (small: <0.1 g; medium: 0.1–1.0 g; large: >1.0 g), seed shape (flat, round) or seedling type (CHR, PEF, PER, PHR) and treating blocks as a random variable without interaction. Assuming that species are differently affected by treatments, we used one factorial ANOVA for each species to compare the effects of seed burial, mulching and their interaction on the emergence of tree species, with blocks as a random variable without interaction. In the case of seedling survival (number of live seedlings at 16 months per number of seedlings that emerged) and seedling size (volume = height/3 x basal area, assuming that seedlings have a conical shape), only the effect of mulching was tested, because there was no reason to examine the effect of planting depth after the seedlings had emerged. Seedling emergence did not deviate significantly from the normal distribution and was not heteroscedastic, except for one species (verified by a Bartlett test). Seedling survival did not deviate significantly from the normal distribution and was not heteroscedastic, except for two species. Shoot size at 16 months was log 10-transformed to achieve normal distribution. After transformation five species did not meet homoscedasticity. For all species, the ratio of largest to smallest variance was always <2. One bed was not sown with *H. stignocarpa*, and the value was replaced with the average of the other two beds. Tukey HSD tests were used for all post-hoc comparisons.

**Results**

**Environmental variables**

In Jul (mid-dry season), soil moisture at the surface was higher under 10 cm of mulch (11.2 ± 0.9%, ±SE) than in the 5-cm mulch (8.0 ± 0.7%) and no-mulch treatments (6.6 ± 0.6%; $F_{2,46} = 13.3, P < 0.001$), and soil moisture was also higher at 50-cm depth for 10-cm mulch (18.6 ± 0.4%) than no-mulch (17.1 ± 0.4%; $F_{2,46} = 6.0, P = 0.008$). In Oct (early rainy season), soil moisture at the surface increased with increasing mulch cover (no-mulch, 8.5 ± 0.5%; 5-cm mulch, 11.7 ± 0.4%; 10-cm mulch, 14.9 ± 0.6%; $F_{2,98} = 40.8, P < 0.001$), but there were no significant differences at 50-cm depth (18.0% across treatments; $F_{2,98} = 3.0, P = 0.060$). In Nov (rainy season) there were no significant differences in soil moisture at the surface (19.9% across treatments; $F_{2,33} = 2.3, P = 0.130$) or 50-cm depth (22.6% across treatments; $F_{2,33} = 0.3, P = 0.774$; Appendix S2). Mulch strongly inhibited the emergence of *U. decumbens*, which was 12 times higher in the no-mulch treatment compared to the 10-cm mulch (Appendix S2). As a result, the canopy formed by *U. decumbens* allowed only 47 ± 8% of the light to reach the seedling stratum in the no-mulch treatment, compared to 60 ± 7% in 5-cm mulch and 81 ± 6% in the 10-cm mulch ($F_{2,35} = 5.2, P = 0.011$; Appendix S2).
Seedling emergence by functional traits

Mulching did not affect the emergence of flat and round seeds differently (Fig. 1a), but flat seeds on the surface had higher emergence (56 ± 3%) than those that were buried (26 ± 3%; Fig. 2b). In contrast, the emergence of round seeds on the surface (42 ± 3%) did not differ from the emergence of buried round seeds (48 ± 3%; Fig. 1b). Phanerocotylar epigeal with foliaceous cotyledons (PEF) seedlings also had better emergence when sown on the surface (54 ± 4%) than when buried (21 ± 5%), but there were no differences in emergence between surface-sown and buried seeds of the other seedling types (Fig. 1c). Emergence did not differ among mulching treatments for any of the seedling types (Fig. 1d). Small, medium and large seeds were not affected differently by the mulching and burial treatments (Fig. 1e, f, Appendix S3).

Seedling emergence by species

Seven out of the eight flat-seeded species had lower seedling emergence when buried. Similarly, the 10-cm mulch treatment reduced the emergence of five flat-seeded species. For flat-seeded species, a 3-cm soil layer greatly reduced emergence, even in the no-mulch treatment. In the case of unburied flat seeds, mulch cover gradually reduced emergence, resulting in a significant interaction between seed burial and mulch cover for three flat-seeded species. In contrast, seedling emergence of round-seeded species did not differ between buried and surface seeding treatments, except for Eugenia dysenterica, which has

---

**Fig. 1.** Emergence (%) of Cerrado tree species with round or flat seeds and CHR, PEF, PER or PHR seedlings (see Table 1 for abbreviations and species traits). Species were nested by seed or seedling types. The effects of seed burial (surface and buried) and mulching (no-mulch, 5-cm and 10-cm of straw mulch) were tested. Column heights indicate means and error bars, SE. ANOVAs were performed for seed shape, seedling morpho-functional types and seed size, testing their interactions with the seed burial and mulching treatments, followed by Tukey tests. Different letters above bars indicate significant differences between treatments.
recalcitrant seeds and had higher emergence when seeds were buried, and *Eriotheca pubescens*, which benefited from some kind of cover, either seed burial with no mulch or surface seeding with 5 cm of mulch (Fig. 2, Appendix S4).

**Seedling size**

After 16 months, above-ground seedling size was low for all species. The tallest species, on average, was *Hymenaea stigonocarpa* (19 cm), and the species with the maximum mean diameter was *Dipteryx alata* (4.2 mm; Appendix S5).

Stem volume increased with mulching in five out of the 16 species, and one species grew better without mulch. Thus, mulching benefited a third of the studied species, with increases of 50–185% in seedling volume relative to no-mulch (Fig. 3, Appendix S6).

**Seedling survival**

Including all 16 species analysed, there were 2655 live seedlings, corresponding to 27% of all planted seeds (seeds converted to 16-mo-old seedlings) and 73% of the

---

**Fig. 2.** Emergence (%) of 16 Cerrado tree species, with different seed burial [surface (S) and buried (B)] and mulching [no-mulch (0 cm), 5 cm and 10 cm of straw mulch] treatments. See Table 1 for the abbreviations of CHR, PER, PEF and PHR; seed sizes can be small (S), medium (M) or large (L). Columns show mean and error bars show SE. An ANOVA was performed for each species, followed by Tukey tests. Significant differences between main factors are indicated by the symbol > (indicating higher emergence), and interactions are represented by letters above bars, where different letters represent significant differences between treatments.
emerged seedlings (survival). *Eugenia dysenterica* had the highest survival rate (99%) and *C. langsdorfii* had the lowest (9%). Seedling survival was not affected by mulching (Fig. 4; for statistical results see Appendix S7).

**Discussion**

In this study, overall germination was 43% and overall survival was 69%, a success rate of 30% after 1 yr. Emergence was relatively high regardless of seed traits, even for traits known to result in low emergence rates, such as a small size (40% emergence) and a flat shape (42%). These figures suggest that direct seeding may be a highly efficient method for establishing trees in the field. We chose species with an assortment of seed and seedling traits that can be found in other ecosystems. However, since savannas are non-forest tropical ecosystems, these seeds are adapted to high temperature and variable humidity, increasing their potential to resist desiccation. These adaptations should therefore also result in higher germination and survival in open areas subject to restoration, compared to late successional species. The only recalcitrant species studied was *E. dysenterica*, which had higher germination rates in the buried or 10-cm mulch treatments.

Recent reviews concluded that direct seeding was only effective for species with seeds that are large (>2 g; Palma & Laurance 2015; Ceccon et al. 2016), round and have low to medium water content (Tunjai & Elliott 2012). Large seeds and the resulting seedlings are more resistant to desiccation and herbivory (Palma & Laurance 2015) and less affected by microhabitat variation, such as soil and mulch cover (Doust et al. 2006). However, in the present study, the emergence of small seeds (<0.1 g) was not low, which was also observed by Doust et al. (2006). Also worth noting is that the soil here was prepared using a bed former, creating a fine, aerated, clod-free soil. This may
have increased germination rates of all species, particularly on the soil surface, compared to broadcasting seeds with little to no soil preparation.

A major challenge of using direct seeding for ecological restoration is to reintroduce species with different types of seeds and seedlings simultaneously. Management strategies must take into account all species to be planted at the same time, or be sufficiently versatile to provide benefits to all functional groups. Seedlings of round-seeded species, which have hypogaeal cotyledons, emerged easily through the soil and mulch layers, while flat-seeded species, which have epigeal leaf-like cotyledons, had lower emergence when buried or covered with mulch. Therefore, these traits are important when considering seeding techniques. Covering seeds is always desirable to prevent desiccation and predation, since these are the most important factors restricting seedling emergence (Holl 1999; Garcia-Orth & Martínez-Ramos 2008; Sovu et al. 2010). The present study demonstrated that flat-seeded species should not be buried; instead, it is better to mulch, because the reduction in seedling emergence is less accentuated compared to uncovered seeds. Round-seeded species had similar seedling emergence rates at the surface and at 3-cm depth, except for *E. dysenterica*, which has recalcitrant seeds and is more susceptible to desiccation in open areas (Andrade et al. 2003; Vieira & Scariot 2006). Thus, in the case of recalcitrant seeds, it is advisable to bury the seeds to prevent desiccation (Woods & Elliott 2004; Vieira & Scariot 2006).

**Effects of mulching and exotic grass competition**

Mulching reduces temperature fluctuation and ground water evaporation, preserving water during dry periods
(Haywood 1999; Gonzalez-Sosa et al. 2001; Mollard et al. 2014). Lower temperatures, coupled with higher soil moisture, favour microbial activity and steadier decomposition rates, adding nutrients to the soil (Athy et al. 2006). All these improvements in microsite conditions and resources increase seedling emergence, survival and growth (for an example in prairie, see Mollard et al. 2014; in dense shrubby heathland, Benigno et al. 2013; in forests in the Alps, Breton et al. 2016). In our study, not only did mulching affect soil moisture, it also prevented the emergence of an intentionally seeded invasive grass. The 10-cm mulch treatment resulted in plots with no *U. decumbens* tussocks, while the no-mulch treatment yielded a thick cover of *U. decumbens* tussocks. Mulching inhibited invasive grasses without strongly reducing tree seedling emergence. However, despite the fact that after 1 yr the 5-cm mulch had disappeared and the depth of the 10-cm mulch had decreased to 1 cm, *U. decumbens* had not emerged in these plots by the end of the experiment. Therefore, new mulch may be added annually, or as needed, to reduce the risk of invasion by grasses and ensure seedling development. It is important to note that applying mulch is simple when seeds are sown in rows or hollows, but this type of maintenance would be difficult with broadcast seeding. Compared to other methods for controlling invasive grasses at the beginning of restoration plantations, such as herbicides, manual clipping (Thaxton et al. 2012) and topsoil removal (Buisson et al. 2008), using mulch combined with direct seeding is convenient, provided grass straw can be harvested on site.

Invasive grasses are dominant in many parts of the globe undergoing restoration efforts (Williams & Baruch 2000). The grass *U. decumbens* had high emergence rates in the absence of mulch, forming a closed canopy that filtered 53% of the light and reducing soil moisture by 50% in the middle of the dry season. The grass genus *Urochloa* is known for its high productivity in savanna ecosystems (Guenni et al. 2002) and noticeably reduces the survival and growth of tree seedlings. Pot experiments demonstrated that the biomass of a native Australian species, *Alphitonia petrie*, was 17 times higher in the absence of *U. decumbens* (Sun & Dickinson 1996). Similarly, seven Cerrado tree species survived five times better and grew three times taller when *Urochloa brizantha* was completely removed with herbicide (glyphosate), compared to a clipping treatment and a no-weeding control (Pereira et al. 2013). These studies suggest that competition takes place below the ground, primarily for water and nutrients. In a third of the studied species, seedling growth was significantly better in the absence of grass (10-cm mulch treatment) than in the treatment invaded by *U. decumbens*. Larger differences should be observed in longer experiments and in below-ground growth.

### Implications for Brazilian savanna restoration

In Cerrado, seedling emergence is a stronger constraint for tree establishment than early survival, and improving conditions for germination is a research priority (see also Silva et al. 2015). Specifically, research should focus on testing methods of soil preparation to improve germination conditions and to control exotic competitors. In observational studies at a Cerrado reserve, seedling survival was higher (Salazar et al. 2012a) than in other direct seeding studies (Cecccon et al. 2016), with low tree seedling density in the savanna explained instead by seed limitation and fire (Zida et al. 2007; Salazar et al. 2012b). Therefore, restoration methods that add seeds to a finely prepared soil and prevent fire are likely to succeed in establishing Neotropical savanna trees. Despite the relative success of direct seeding for the establishment of tree species with a variety of seed and seedling traits, the slow growth rate in the early years (see Hoffmann & Franco 2003; Silva et al. 2015) is a major constraint for Cerrado restoration. In this study, the average seedling height at 16 months was 10.3 cm (N = 2579 stems). The initial period of slow growth requires efficient strategies to control unwanted species for an extended period. Therefore, different ‘waiting’ strategies may be used during restoration, depending on the restoration goals. For example, in taungya agroforestry systems, short-cycle crops are cultivated between rows of trees until the trees start to shade them (Menzies 1988). Alternatively, the soil may be planted with native herbaceous and shrub species, which represent 87% of Cerrado spermatophytes and are six times denser than trees. Although many issues should be considered for a comprehensive Cerrado restoration, this study shows that the interaction between seed traits and initial growth conditions must be taken into account to maximize the effectiveness of the early stages of the restoration process.
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**Appendix S1.** Experimental design scheme.

**Appendix S2.** Soil moisture, irradiance and density of *Urochloa decumbens* tussocks in plots with no-mulch (0 cm), 5-cm and 10-cm straw mulch.

**Appendix S3.** ANOVAs for the effects of seed burial depth and mulching on seedling emergence, depending on seed shape, seed size and seedling morpho-functional type.
Appendix S4. ANOVAs for the effects of seed burial depth and mulching on seedling emergence and establishment.

Appendix S5. Seedling height and diameter 16 months after seeding.

Appendix S6. ANOVAs for the effects of mulching on the seedling volume 16 months after seeding.

Appendix S7. ANOVAs for the effects of mulching on the seedling survival 16 months after seeding.